Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/019,691	MAX, MICHAEL DAVID
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kenneth M. Fagin</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 July 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
It was noted that the term "the ground" in claim 1 appears to lack clear antecedent basis. It was agreed to amend claim 1 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to change the term to - land - in view of page 9 lines 20-23 of the instant specification.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
Peter athuskozi		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)